# **Roadmap Generation using a Multi-Stage Ensemble of Deep Neural Networks with Smoothing-Based Optimization**



## **Dragos Costea**<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

# <sup>1</sup> University Politehnica of Bucharest

#### INTRODUCTION

- Road detection and vectorization is essential for automatic map generation. High resolution, daily RGB satellite imagery is available. Manual annotation is expensive and time consuming.
- Accurate maps enable localization and navigation for unmanned ground and aerial vehicles (UGVs and UAVs). Shorter routes result in faster and more economical transportation.
- We propose an automatic map generation method that yields good results in terms of pixelwise accuracy, while also producing a vectorized map.

### OUR APPROACH

We use a multi-stage ensemble of U-Net-like networks with multiple dilation rates. As road junctions are important for overall graph structure, we also train an intersection detector.

#### **Steps:**

- Extract road segmentation maps from trained U-Net-like networks.
- Detect intersections.
- Concatenate our networks results (for both roads and intersections).
- Train another network on top of previous predictions.
- Create road graph from the final predictions.
- Add missing links inferred from the graph.

#### MULTI-STAGE ROAD DETECTION

Stage 1: We detect roads using an ensemble of U-nets with variable dilation rates for the bottleneck:

- Max dilation 32 (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32)
- Max dilation 48 (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 48)
- Max dilation 64 (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 48, 64)

Stage 2: The generated road maps, along with the RGB and detected intersections are concatenated and fed to the next stage U-net-like architecture trained for road segmentation.

Stage 3: We generate road vectors from the improved segmentation map and further use these vectors to add missing links.



Alina Marcu<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Autonomous Systems

## QUALITATIVE RESULTS



(A) RGB input, (B) Ground truth, (C) Ensemble 1 (Stage 1), shown as sum of 4 CNN outputs: Max dilation 32 - blue, Max dilation 48 - green, Max dilation 64 - red, Max dilation 32, same width thin - grey. (D) Ensemble 2, the output of Stage 2.

Problems: wrong or missing label, prolonged roadside occlusion, ample road width variations. It also highlights the gains of multiple dilation rates and fixed width training.

#### ADDING MISSING LINKS

We vectorize the final binary image from the NN using SBO [1] and infer missing links based on the graph structure. This would be difficult to achieve by means of traditional techniques (such as distance transform) - see roundabouts, junctions.



Qualitative results of stage 3 of our method (adding missing links). (A) RGB input, (B) binary mask from CNN, (C) plotted road vectors from SBO, (D) final segmentation with missing links added to the road vectors.

### ROAD THICKNESS STUDY

- Thin roads and large pavement areas generally yield poor detection performance.
- We investigate the impact of label thickness on detection and trained additional networks with thicker roads.
- Roads as thick connections are better than having a variable or thin road that misses out road segments.

Width type	Thickness	IoU Our	IoU Our
		Training	Validation
Same width	Thin ( $\approx 4m$ )	0.6282	0.6123
	Thick (2x thin)	0.6918	0.6751
Variable	Thin (original)	0.6432	0.6483
	Thick (2x thin)	0.7254	0.6889

More details about our work: https://sites.google.com/site/aerialimageunderstanding/



Marius Leordeanu<sup>1,2,3</sup> Emil Sluşanschi<sup>1</sup> <sup>3</sup> Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy

#### EXPERIMENTS

images (Round 1)

mages (Noun	<u>u 1).</u>					
	Model		Iteration	IoU		
				Validati	on	
	Max dilation 32		1	0.5924	4	
			2	0.5975	5	
	Max dilation 48		1	0.6039	9	
			2	0.6058	8	
<b>Fable 2.</b> Road	ls segmentation	on results	s. Results	s reported	l on 5603	training
mages and 62	23 validation	images,	randomly	selected	from the	original
raining set, fo	r which groun	d truth w	vas provid	ed.		
	Model	Our 7	Training	Our Va	lidation	
		IoU	F1	IoU	F1	
Ma	x dilation 32	0.6432	0.7824	0.6483	0.7883	
Ma	x dilation 48	0.6577	0.7913	0.6601	0.7957	
Ma	x dilation 64	0.6591	0.7919	0.6640	0.7966	
<b>Fable 3.</b> Intersection segmentation results (report IoU scores).						
	Input	Our	Training	Our Va	alidation	
]	RGB only		.2840	0.1	1724	
Roads only		0	0.5627		5517	
RGB + Roads		0	0.7112		<b>5492</b>	
<b>Fable 4.</b> Road	s segmentation	n results	using our	ensemble	es.	
	Model		Our Training		lidation	
		IoU	F1	IoU	F1	
E	nsemble 1	0.6356	0.7749	0.6345	0.7769	
E	nsemble 2	0.7287	0.8506	0.6920	0.8239	
En	semble 1+2	0.6514	0.7882	0.6412	0.7829	
<b>Fable 5</b> . Road	ls segmentatio	on results	s on the o	fficial tes	ting set, 1	101 im-
ages. The resu	lts were provi	ded by th	e submiss	sion site (	Round 2)	. Results
reported after a	adding the mis	ssing link	KS.			
	Model		IoU T	Testing		
_	Baseline [2]		0.545			
_	Ensemble 1		0.5788			
	Fnsemhle ?		0 5862			

<b>IU I</b> ).						
Model	Ι	teration	IoU Validati	on		
		1		<u> </u>		
Max dilation	1 32		0.5924	4 ~		
		<u></u>	0.597:	<u> </u>		
Max dilation	148	1	0.603	9		
1	1		0.0050			. • •
ds segmentatio	n results	. Results	reported	l on 5	603	training
23 validation 1	mages, 1		selected	from	the	original
or which groun	a truth w	as provid				
Model	Our T	raining	Our Va	lidatio	on	
	loU	F1	loU	F		
ax dilation 32	0.6432	0.7824	0.6483	0.78	83	
ax dilation 48	0.6577	0.7913	0.6601	0.79	57	
ax dilation 64	0.6591	0.7919	0.6640	0.79	66	
section segmen	itation re	sults (rep	ort IoU so	cores)	•	-
Input	Our '	Training	Our Va	alidati	ion	-
RGB only	0.	2840	0.1	1724		
Roads only	0.	0.5627		0.5517		
GB + Roads	0.	7112	0.0	5492		
ls segmentation results using our ensembles.						-
Model	Our T	raining	Our Va	lidatio	on	
	IoU	F1	IoU	F1		
Ensemble 1	0.6356	0.7749	0.6345	0.77	69	
Ensemble 2	0.7287	0.8506	0.6920	0.82	39	
nsemble 1+2	0.6514	0.7882	0.6412	0.78	29	
ds segmentatio	n results	on the of	fficial tes	ting s	et, 1	101 im-
ults were provid	led by th	e submiss	sion site (	Roun	<b>d 2</b> ).	Results
adding the mis	sing link	S.				
Model		IoU T	esting			
Baseline [2		0.5	545			
Ensemble	1	0.5	788			
Ensemble 2	2	0.5	862			
Ensemble 1-	+2	0.5	785			

## References

- [1] D. Costea, A. Marcu, E.-I. Slusanschi, and M. Leordeanu. Creating roadmaps in aerial images with generative adversarial networks and smoothing-based optimization. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 2100–2109, 2017.
- [2] I. Demir, K. Koperski, D. Lindenbaum, G. Pang, J. Huang, S. Basu, F. Hughes, D. Tuia, and R. Raskar. Deepglobe 2018: A challenge to parse the earth through satellite images. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.06561, 2018.

#### **Table 1.** Roads segmentation results on the official validation set, 1243